<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Points to Ponder</th>
<th>Review comments and notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Abstract, title and references | ● Is the aim clear?  
● Is it clear what the study found and how they did it?  
● Is the title informative and relevant?  
● Are the references:  
  ● Relevant?  
  ● Recent?  
  ● Referenced correctly?  
  ● Are appropriate key studies included? |                                                                         |
| Introduction/background      | ● Is it clear what is already known about this topic?  
● Is the research question clearly outlined?  
● Is the research question justified given what is already known about the topic? |                                                                         |
| Methods                     | ● Is the process of subject selection clear?  
● Are the variables defined and measured appropriately?  
● Are the study methods valid and reliable?  
● Is there enough detail in order to replicate the study? |                                                                         |
| Results                     | ● Is the data presented in an appropriate way?  
  ● Tables and figures relevant and clearly presented?  
  ● Appropriate units, rounding, and number of decimals?  
  ● Titles, columns, and rows labelled correctly and clearly?  
  ● Categories grouped appropriately?  
● Does the text in the results add to the data or is it repetitive?  
● Are you clear about what is a statistically significant result?  
● Are you clear about what is a practically meaningful result? |                                                                         |
| Discussion and Conclusions  | ● Are the results discussed from multiple angles and placed into context without being overinterpreted?  
● Do the conclusions answer the aims of the study?  
● Are the conclusions supported by references or results?  
● Are the limitations of the study fatal or are they opportunities to inform future research? |                                                                         |
| Overall                     | ● Was the study design appropriate to answer the aim?  
● What did this study add to what was already known on this topic?  
● What were the major flaws of this article?  
● Is the article consistent within itself? |                                                                         |
Structure your comments into a full review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall statement or summary of the article and its findings in your own words</th>
<th>Overall strengths of the article and what impact it might have in your field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific comments on weaknesses of the article and what could be done to improve it</th>
<th>Major points in the article which needs clarification, refinement, reanalysis, rewrites and/or additional information and suggestions for what could be done to improve the article.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minor points like figures/tables not being mentioned in the text, a missing reference, typos, and other inconsistencies.

| | 1. |
| | 2. |
| | 3. |